1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

28

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

TERRY REED,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00675-RSM

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES PURSANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff Terry Reed's Motion for Attorney Fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). Dkt. #24. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees in the amount of \$27,729.16. This amount is calculated as 15% of Plaintiff's retroactive benefits, \$212,837.60, minus the fee of \$4,196.48 previously ordered under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). *Id.* Defendant neither supports nor opposes counsel's request. Dkt. #26 at 2. However, if Plaintiff's netted fee request is granted, "the Commissioner respectfully requests that the Order distinguish between the full amount determined as reasonable under 406(b) and the net amount awarded for payment purpose." *Id.* at 4.

Attorney's fees may be awarded to a successful social security claimant's lawyer for his or her representation before a court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 406(b). Straw v. Bowen, 866 F.2d 1167 (9th Cir.1989). Plaintiff must apply to the Social Security Administration for an award of

ORDER - 1

fees for representation at the administrative level. 42 U.S.C. § 406(a); *Stenswick v. Bowen*, 815 F.2d 519 (9th Cir.1987). Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), the Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a Social Security Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in excess of 25% of the total past-due benefits. *See Grisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789 (2002).

Fee awards may be made under both the EAJA and § 406(b), but the claimant's attorney must refund to the claimant the amount of the smaller fee. *See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002). Plaintiff was awarded \$212,837.60 in past due benefits. Twenty-five percent of past due benefits is \$53,209.40. Plaintiff and his counsel have subsequently agreed to a fifteen percent fee from total past-due benefits, *i.e.* \$31,925.64. Dkt. #24 at 6. The Court concludes this amount is reasonable within the meaning of § 406(b). Because the awarded EAJA fees were lesser than \$31,925.64, Plaintiff's counsel is due the net amount of \$31,925.64 minus the \$4,196.48 in EAJA fees that were previously awarded—\$27,729.16. *See Gisbrecht* at 796.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, having reviewed Plaintiff's Motion, the Commissioner's Response, the exhibits and declarations attached thereto, and the remainder of the record, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1) Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 406(b) is GRANTED in part. Plaintiff's attorney, Amy Gilbrough, is awarded reasonable fees in the sum of \$27,729.16.
- This net payment of fees comprises 15% of Plaintiff's past-due benefits minus the previously awarded EAJA fees;

3) Any payment of fees is from the claimant's withheld past-due benefits. If the Commissioner has not withheld past-due benefits sufficient to satisfy this order and Plaintiff's attorney reports she is unable to collect the fee from the claimant, the Commissioner will satisfy this order via the procedures in the Program Operation Manual System (POMS) GN 03920.055.C.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27th day of February, 2024.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE